Patent
Attorney's Docket No. 018656-232

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of	**MAIL STOP AF**
Kazunori Shionoya	Group Art Unit: 2622
Application No.: 09/824,836	Examiner: MARK R MILIA
Filed: April 4, 2001	Confirmation No.: 1177
For: MEMORY BOARD	

Enter
10/28/05

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 30, 2005, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application and withdraw the outstanding rejections.

Claims 1-9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,535,368, hereinafter *Ho et al.* As argued in the response filed on February 16, 2005, the substance of which is incorporated herein by reference, *Ho et al.* does not disclose a memory board including a memory controller as well as a memory device. In response to the remarks filed on February 16, 2005, the Examiner indicated that "the Examiner understands" that the memory subsystem 10 illustrated in Figure 1 of *Ho et al.* is a "memory board" and as such the memory modules 12A and 12B can be connected to add additional memory capacity to the system. However, other than reciting the Examiner's understanding, the Examiner has provided no basis for the position that the memory subsystem 10 is a "printed wiring board" as recited in claims 1 and 7 or a "memory board" as recited in claim 4.